

**DRAFTER'S NOTE**  
**FROM THE**  
**LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRB-2030/P1dn  
RLR:wlj:ph

April 23, 2009

Anne Sappenfield:

This draft incorporates the changes we discussed to WLC: 0125/2. The draft also includes your prefatory note and explanatory notes. Please let me know if you want to add or modify any of your notes to reflect the changes to WLC:0125/2.

Following are some questions and comments:

1. The note under the amendment of s. 46.56 (1) (b), which defines "agency," does not address the inclusion of for-profit private agencies. Should it?
2. I found several more places in the bill where usage of the term "child" or "children" does not align with the definition of "child." In some instances, it makes sense to change the term "child" to "individual under the age of 18." Do you want to make this change in s. 46.56 (3) (a) 2. and 3.? It does not make sense to change the term "child welfare" in this manner or the term "county children with disabilities education board." Rather than distorting the meaning of "child" when it is used in its regular sense, you could delete the definition of child and refer to a "child who is involved in multiple systems of care" wherever that meaning is intended.
3. Proposed s. 46.56 (3) (d) 9. and (15) (b) 1r. refer to core values adopted by the state advisory committee, but the bill does not require the state advisory committee to adopt core values. Should it? The bill does require an interagency agreement to include the mission and core values of the initiative.
4. I changed the reference to the Medical Assistance Program in proposed s. 46.56 (3) (e) 3.
5. I changed s. 46.56 (6) (a) 2. c., as renumbered, for clarity.
6. Should s. 46.56 (6) (d) refer to promoting collaborative relationships "between" or "across" systems of care, rather than "in" systems of care?
7. Section 46.56 (14) (a) refers to the subunit in the Department of Workforce Development that administers economic support programs. Should it instead refer to the Department of Children and Families?
8. I changed the effective date to the day after publication or the day after publication of the 2009-11 biennial budget act, whichever is later. Is this OK? I made the same change in the note.

9. I changed one of the notes that explains changes in s. 46.56 (14) (c) to reflect the repeal of s. 46.56 (14) (c) 2. and the renumbering and amending of s. 46.56 (14) (c) 5. I did not create a note to explain the creation of s. 46.45 (14) (c) 9. Please review the notes for s. 46.56 (14) (c).

10. In s. 46.56 (1) (c) 4. and (nm), I changed “service system” to “system of care.” I left the reference to a “comprehensive service system or coordinated care” in s. 46.56 (14) (a). OK?

Robin Ryan  
Legislative Attorney  
Phone: (608) 261-6927  
E-mail: robin.ryan@legis.wisconsin.gov